

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 1,0. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,644		11/13/2003	Timothy P. Duggan	1062/E07	1645	
2101	7590	05/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		JNSTEIN LLP	SCHNEIDER	SCHNEIDER, CRAIG M		
125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3753		
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

€

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,644	DUGGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
3	Craig M. Schneider	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 101	March 2006.					
·—	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	as algetian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/13/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/713,644 Page 2

**Art Unit: 3753** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/10/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is arguing that the current application teaches a separate drain after condensed matter and also that there is a notch in the port to allow liquid to drip through. Both of these features are drawn from non-elected Species (Species C and D respectively).
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., notch as seen in Figures 4A and 4B and the drain as seen in Figure 3 which are directed to nonelected species) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Election/Restrictions

- 3. Claims 6 and 10-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/28/2005.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3753

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Otti (1,324,515).

Otti discloses a backpressure regulator (2) comprising a hinged arm (9) having a closed position and a movable stop (11) shaped to cover a port (Figure 2) connected to a pressurized conduit (7) the stop being held by a retainer (threaded portion) attached to the arm, and the stop being positioned adjacent to the port when the arm is in the closed position, wherein the arm is away from the closed position when the pressure in the conduit exceeds a set point, and the arm is in the closed position when the pressure in the conduit is less than the set point (Ins. 39-93), and a vessel (body of the regulator from the closed port to the right, area 2 to 4) having an orifice (area at junction of 2 and 3) connected to the pressurized conduit, wherein the port is an opening of the orifice and the arm is hinged to the pressure vessel, and wherein the vessel includes a drain orifice (5).

Application/Control Number: 10/713,644

Art Unit: 3753

Regarding claim 2, Otti discloses a counter mass (12) adjustably attached to the arm and the set point is determined by the position of the counter mass relative to the arm (lns. 64-73).

Regarding claim 7, Otti discloses the movable stop is substantially ball shaped as seen in Figure 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 8,the claim recites intended use language "the regulator is utilized in a vapor compression distillation system", this does not carry any patentable weight. The prior art of Otti can perform the intended function addressed in claim 8.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otti.

Regarding claim 4 and 5, Otti discloses all the features of the claimed invention except that a lowest set point is substantially less than or equal to 10 psig and that a highest set point is substantially greater than or equal to 17 psig. However, to employ an operating range operable between a "lowest set point is substantially less than or equal to 10 psig and that a highest set point is substantially greater than or equal to 17 psig" is considered to be an obvious design expedient over the adjustable ball 12 and the gradation markings on the pivot arm 10 of Otti which provide no new and/or

Application/Control Number: 10/713,644

Art Unit: 3753

unexpected results nor solves any stated problem. That is, there is no criticality associated with the recited operating characteristics providing any new and/or unexpected results over the adjustability feature in Otti.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig M. Schneider whose telephone number is (571) 272-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 -5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,644 Page 6

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CMS &M April 28, 2006

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700